

REMARKS

The Office Action mailed April 13, 2009 has been reviewed and carefully considered. Entry of this Amendment and reconsideration of the above-identified application, as amended , and in view of the following remarks, is respectfully requested

Claims 1, 3-10, 12 and 15-22 are pending.

Claims 1, 3-10 and 12 stand rejected.

Claims 15-22 are allowed.

Claims 1, 15 and 19 are independent claims.

Claim 1 has been amended.

The Specification stands objected to for failing to include page 20. Claims 1, 3-10 and 12, stand rejected under 35 USC 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 15-22 are indicated to be allowed.

With regard to the objection to the Specification, applicant's representative apologies for the confusion in the submission of the amendments to the specification and thanks the Examiner for providing references within the specification to clarify the missing section of the specification. Applicant submits, herein, a copy of the originally filed specification submitted found between the sections presented in the Office Action.

Applicant submits that the reason for the objection has been overcome and respectfully requests that the objection be withdrawn.

With regard to the rejection of claims 1, 3-10 and 12 under 35 USC 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter, applicant respectfully disagrees with and explicitly traverses the rejection of the claims. However in the interest of advancing the prosecution of this matter, independent claim 1 has been amended to further recite the method being operable in a computer-enabled apparatus. No new matter has been added. Support for the amendment may be found at least in claim 19.

Applicant submits that claim 1 now recites a method operable in an apparatus and

thus the claim is directed toward statutory subject matter. For the amendments made to claim 1, applicant submits that the reason for the rejection of the claim has been overcome.

Applicant further notes that claim 1 includes subject matter similar to that recited in claim 15 and, hence, claim 1, as amended, is also in an allowable form.

With regard to the remaining claims, these claims depend from claim 1 and, hence, are also allowable by virtual of their dependency upon an allowable base claim.

For all the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that all the claims are in allowable form and the issuance of a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

In the event the Examiner deems personal contact desirable in the disposition of this case, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at the telephone given below.

No fees are believed necessary for the timely filing of this paper.

Respectfully submitted,

Dan Piotrowski
Registration No. 42,079

Date: July 7, 2009

/Carl A. Giordano/
By: Carl A. Giordano
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 41, 780

Mail all correspondence to:

Dan Piotrowski, Esq.
US PHILIPS CORPORATION
P.O. Box 3001
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-8001
Phone: (914) 333-9624
Fax: (914) 332-0615